

Formal Specification of Software

Bernhard Beckert

Adaptation of slides by
Wolfgang Ahrendt
Chalmers University, Gothenburg, Sweden

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General Philosophy

Integrate

- specification and
- implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML
is

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General Philosophy

Integrate

- specification and
- implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML
is
JAVA

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General Philosophy

Integrate

- specification and
- implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML

is

JAVA + **FO Logic** + pre/post-conditions, invariants + more ...

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General Philosophy

Integrate

- specification and
- implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML

is

JAVA + **FO Logic + pre/post-conditions, invariants** + more ...

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General Philosophy

Integrate

- specification and
- implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML

is

JAVA + **FO Logic** + **pre/post-conditions, invariants** + more ...

JML Annotations

JML **extends** JAVA by **annotations**.

JML annotations include:

- ✓ preconditions
- ✓ postconditions
- ✗ intermediate assertions
- ✓ class invariants
- ✓ additional modifiers
- ✗ 'specification-only' field declarations
- ✗ 'specification-only' field conditions
- ✗ 'specification-only' field assignments
- ✗ ...

✓: in this course, ✗: not in this course

JML/Java integration

JML annotations are attached to JAVA programs
by
writing them directly into the JAVA source code files!

But to not confuse the JAVA compiler:

JML annotations live in in special comments,
ignored by JAVA, but recognised by JML.

JML/Java integration

JML annotations are attached to JAVA programs
by
writing them directly into the JAVA source code files!

But to not confuse the JAVA compiler:

JML annotations live in in special comments,
ignored by JAVA, but recognised by JML.

JML Example 1

from the file ATM.java

```
⋮  
/*@ public normal_behavior  
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;  
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;  
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;  
   @*/  
public void enterPIN (int pin) {  
    if ( ....  
⋮
```

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

Everything between `/*` and `*/` is invisible for JAVA.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
is *not* a comment for JML.

(Non-JAVA) JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

How about "//" comments?

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
is *not* a comment for JML.

(Non-JAVA) JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

How about "//" comments?

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
is *not* a comment for JML.

(Non-JAVA) JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

How about "//" comments?

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
is *not* a comment for JML.

(Non-JAVA) JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

How about "//" comments?

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

is equivalent to:

```
//@ public normal_behavior
//@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
//@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
//@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```


Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

Within a JML annotation, a '@' is ignored:

- if it is the first (non-white) character in the line
- if it is the last character before '*/'.

⇒ The blue '@'s are not *required*, but it's a *convention* to use them.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

Within a JML annotation, a '@' is ignored:

- if it is the first (non-white) character in the line
- if it is the last character before '*/'.

⇒ The blue '@'s are not *required*, but it's a *convention* to use them.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

Within a JML annotation, a '@' is ignored:

- if it is the first (non-white) character in the line
- if it is the last character before '*/'.

⇒ The blue '@'s are not *required*, but it's a *convention* to use them.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This is a **public** specification case, meaning it:

- 1 is visible from all classes and interfaces
- 2 can only mention **public** fields/methods of this class

2. is normally a problem. Solution later in the lecture.

In this course: only **public** specifications.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This is a **public** specification case, meaning it:

- 1 is visible from all classes and interfaces
- 2 can only mention `public` fields/methods of this class

2. is normally a problem. Solution later in the lecture.

In this course: only `public` specifications.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This is a **public** specification case, meaning it:

- 1 is visible from all classes and interfaces
- 2 can only mention public fields/methods of this class

2. is normally a problem. Solution later in the lecture.

In this course: only public specifications.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

Each keyword ending on **behavior** opens a 'specification case'.

normal_behavior opens a 'normal behavior specification case':

The method guarantees **normal termination** if the caller guarantees all preconditions of this specification case.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This specification case has two **preconditions** (marked by **requires**)

- 1 !customerAuthenticated
- 2 pin == insertedCard.correctPIN

Here, the preconditions are **boolean JAVA expressions**.

In general, pre/postconditions and invariants are **boolean JML expressions**.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This specification case has two **preconditions** (marked by **requires**)

- 1 !customerAuthenticated
- 2 pin == insertedCard.correctPIN

Here, the preconditions are boolean JAVA expressions.

In general, pre/postconditions and invariants are **boolean JML expressions**.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
```

This specifies only the case where **both** preconditions are true in the prestate.

I.e., the above is equivalent to:

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires ( !customerAuthenticated
               && pin == insertedCard.correctPIN );
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
```

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This specification case has one **postcondition** (marked by **ensures**)

- `customerAuthenticated`

Again, the postcondition is a **boolean JAVA** expressions.

Again, in general pre/postconditions and invariants are **boolean JML** expressions.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

This specification case has one **postcondition** (marked by **ensures**)

- `customerAuthenticated`

Again, the postcondition is a boolean JAVA expressions.

Again, in general pre/postconditions and invariants are **boolean JML expressions**.

Discussion Example 1

Different specification cases are connected by 'also'.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
  @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
  @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
  @
  @ also
  @
  @ public normal_behavior
  @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
  @ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
  @ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
  @ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
  @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ....
```

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also
   @
   @ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
   @ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

Now, for the first time, we have a JML expression which is not a JAVA expression.

$\text{\old}(E)$ is: E evaluated in the prestate of enterPIN.

E can be any (arbitrarily complicated) JAVA/JML expression.

Discussion Example 1

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also
@
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires insertedCard != null;
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ requires wrongPINCounter >= 2;
@ ensures insertedCard == null;
@ ensures \old(insertedCard).invalid;
@*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

This specification case has two postconditions, stating that:

'Given the above preconditions, enterPIN guarantees:

(insertedCard == null && \old(insertedCard).invalid)'

JML Modifiers

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by additional modifiers.

The most important ones are:

- `spec_public`
- `pure`

Aim: admitting more class elements to be used in JML expressions.

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

In Example 1 (`enterPIN`), pre- and postconditions made heavy use of class fields.

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields `public`.

Solution:

- keep the fields `private/protected`
- make those needed for specification `spec_public`

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated;  
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter;
```

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

In Example 1 (`enterPIN`), pre- and postconditions made heavy use of class fields.

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields `public`.

Solution:

- keep the fields `private/protected`
- make those needed for specification `spec_public`

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated;  
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter;
```

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

In Example 1 (`enterPIN`), pre- and postconditions made heavy use of class fields.

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields `public`.

Solution:

- keep the fields `private/protected`
- make those needed for specification `spec_public`

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated;  
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter;
```

JML Modifiers: pure

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

- `o1.equals(o2)`
- `li.contains(elem)`
- `li1.max() < li2.min()`

This is allowed if, and only if, the method call is guaranteed to have no side effects.

In JML, you can specify methods to be 'pure':

```
public /*@ pure @*/ int max() { ...
```

The 'pure' modifier puts an additional obligation on the implementer (no to use side effects), but allows to use the method in annotations.

JML Expressions and FO Logic

So far: pre/postconditions did not use first-order logic formulae, but simply `boolean JAVA` expressions.

But: last lecture motivated the need for more powerful features, foremost quantification¹.

⇒ many specification frameworks employ *formulas* of some logic

Not so JML!

Design decision taken in JML

Instead of going from `JAVA boolean` expressions to a more expressive logic, make the `boolean` expressions more expressive themselves.

¹see `List::set()`

JML Expressions and FO Logic

So far: pre/postconditions did not use first-order logic formulae, but simply `boolean JAVA` expressions.

But: last lecture motivated the need for more powerful features, foremost quantification¹.

⇒ many specification frameworks employ *formulas* of some logic

Not so JML!

Design decision taken in JML

Instead of going from `JAVA boolean` expressions to a more expressive logic, make the `boolean` expressions more expressive themselves.

¹see `List::set()`

JML Expressions and FO Logic

⇒ JML `boolean` expressions extend `JAVA boolean` expressions by:

- implication
- quantification
- (more ...)

Instead of a formula being `valid`, or `not valid`, in a certain `model`, we speak about a `boolean` expression being `true` or `false` in a certain `state`.

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

Formulae

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t , then the following are also boolean JML expressions:
 - $!a$ (“not a ”)
 - $a \ \&\& \ b$ (“ a and b ”)
 - $a \ || \ b$ (“ a or b ”)
 - $a \ ==> \ b$ (“ a implies b ”)
 - $a \ <==> \ b$ (“ a is equivalent to b ”)
 - $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; a)$ (“for all x of type t is true”)
 - $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; a)$ (“there exists x of type t such that a ”)
 - $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; a; b)$ (“for all x of type t fulfilling a , b is true”)
 - $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; a; b)$ (“there exists x of type t fulfilling a , such that b ”)

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

Formulae

- each side-effect free boolean JAVA expression is a boolean JML expression
- if a and b are boolean JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t , then the following are also boolean JML expressions:
 - $!a$ (“not a ”)
 - $a \ \&\& \ b$ (“ a and b ”)
 - $a \ || \ b$ (“ a or b ”)
 - $a \ ==> \ b$ (“ a implies b ”)
 - $a \ <==> \ b$ (“ a is equivalent to b ”)
 - $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; a)$ (“for all x of type t is true”)
 - $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; a)$ (“there exists x of type t such that a ”)
 - $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; a; b)$ (“for all x of type t fulfilling a , b is true”)
 - $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; a; b)$ (“there exists x of type t fulfilling a , such that b ”)

JML Quantifiers

In the two last quantifier expressions:

$(\forall x; a; b)$ and $(\exists x; a; b)$

a is called the 'range predicate'

These forms are redundant:

$(\forall x; a; b)$

is equivalent to

$(\forall x; a \implies b)$

and

$(\exists x; a; b)$

is equivalent to

$(\exists x; a \ \&\& \ b)$

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

Even if the forms

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

are redundant, they are widely used.

Pragmatics of the range predicate:

`a` is used to restrict the range of `x` further than its type `t` does.

`(\forall int i,j; 0 <= i && i < j && j < 10; a[i] < a[j])`

says that `a` is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9.

The quantifiers for `i` and `j` 'range' over values making the expression between `;` and `;` true.

Generalized Quantifiers

JML offers **generalised quantifiers**:

- `\max`
- `\min`
- `\product`
- `\sum`

returning the **maximum**, **minimum**, **product**, or **sum** of the values of the expressions given, where the variables satisfy the given range.

Examples (all formulae are true):

```
(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
```

```
(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; i) == 1 * 2 * 3 * 4
```

```
(\max int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 4
```

```
(\min int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i-1) == -1
```

Result Values in Postcondition

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures (\forall int j; j >= 0 && j < a.length;
   @           \result >= a[j]);
   @*/
public static /*@ pure @*/ int max(int[] a) {
    if (...
```

In a postcondition:

one can use '`\result`' to refer to the return value of the method.

But is the above postcondition sufficient?

Result Values in Postcondition

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures (\forall int j; j >= 0 && j < a.length;
   @           \result >= a[j]);
   @*/
public static /*@ pure @*/ int max(int[] a) {
    if (...
```

In a postcondition:

one can use '**\result**' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

But is the above postcondition sufficient?

Result Values in Postcondition

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures (\forall int j; j >= 0 && j < a.length;
   @           \result >= a[j]);
   @*/
public static /*@ pure @*/ int max(int[] a) {
    if (...
```

In a postcondition:

one can use '**\result**' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

But is the above postcondition sufficient?

Result Values in Postcondition

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
  @ ensures (\forall int j; j >= 0 && j < a.length;
  @           \result >= a[j]);
  @ ensures a.length > 0 ==>
  @           (\exists int j; j >= 0 && j < a.length;
  @           \result == a[j]);
  @*/
public static /*@ pure @*/ int max(int[] a) {
    if (...
```


JML Invariants

So far: attached pre/postconditions to methods.

Now: attaching **invariants** to **classes**.

We are free where to put it in the class (potentially close to fields the invariant talks about).

JML Invariants: Example

```
/*@ public invariant
    @     accountProxies != null;
    @ public invariant
    @     accountProxies.length == maxAccountNumber;
    @ public invariant
    @     (\forall int i; i >= 0 && i < maxAccountNumber;
    @       ( accountProxies[i] == null
    @         ||
    @         accountProxies[i].accountNumber == i ));
    @*/

private /*@ spec_public nullable@*/ final
    OfflineAccountProxy[] accountProxies
        = new OfflineAccountProxy [maxAccountNumber];
```

non_null and nullable

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by further modifiers:

- class **fields**
- method **parameters**
- method **return types**

can be declared as

- **nullable**: may or may not be null
- **non_null**: must not be null

non_null: Examples

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null @*/ String name;
```

invariant

```
'public invariant name != null;'
```

implicitly added to class

```
public void insertCard(/*@ non_null @*/ BankCard card) {..
```

precondition

```
'requires card != null;'
```

implicitly added to each specification case of insertCard

```
public /*@ non_null @*/ String toString()
```

postcondition

```
'ensures \result != null;'
```

implicitly added to each specification case of toString

non_null is default in JML!

⇒ same effect even without explicit 'non_null's

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ String name;
```

invariant

```
'public invariant name != null;'
```

implicitly added to class

```
public void insertCard(BankCard card) {..
```

precondition

```
'requires card != null;'
```

implicitly added to each specification case of insertCard

```
public String toString()
```

postcondition

```
'ensures \result != null;'
```

implicitly added to each specification case of toString

nullable: Examples

To prevent such pre/post conditions and invariants: 'nullable'

```
private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ String name;
```

no implicit invariant added

```
public void insertCard(/*@ nullable @*/ BankCard card) {..
```

no implicit precondition added

```
public /*@ nullable @*/ String toString()
```

no implicit postcondition added to specification cases of toString

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

- all elements in the list are `non_null`
- the list is cyclic, or infinite!

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

- all elements in the list are `non_null`
- the list is cyclic, or infinite!

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

- all elements in the list are `non_null`
- the list is cyclic, or infinite!

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

Repair:

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private /*@ nullable */ LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

⇒ Now, the list is allowed to end somewhere!

Final Remark on `non_null` and `nullable`

`non_null` as default in JML is fairly new.

⇒ Not yet well reflected in literature and tools.

JML and Inheritance

All JML contracts, i.e.

- specification cases
- class invariants

are inherited down from superclasses to subclasses.

A class has to fulfill all contracts of its superclasses.

Recall the `hashCode` problem from lecture 6.

Literature

This was an intro into JML essentials.

Two tutorial papers:

- Gary T. Leavens, Yoonsik Cheon.
Design by Contract with JML
- Gary T. Leavens, Albert L. Baker, and Clyde Ruby.
JML: A Notation for Detailed Design

Both go beyond today's lecture, but that doesn't hurt.

The reference manual, for look-up:

- Gary T. Leavens, Erik Poll, Curtis Clifton, Yoonsik Cheon, Clyde Ruby, David Cok, Peter Müller, and Joseph Kiniry.
JML Reference Manual

all available at

www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML/documentation.shtml

Many tools support JML (see www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML/).

Most basic tool set:

- `jml`, a syntax and type checker
- `jmlc`, JML/Java compiler. Compile runtime assertion checks into the code.
- `jmldoc`, like javadoc for Java + JML
- `jmlunit`, unit testing based on JML

We recommend to use `jml` to check the syntax.