Specifying the Java Collections Framework in JavaDL

cand. inform. Denis Lohner

Institut für Theoretische Informatik - Universität Karlsruhe

6th KeY Symposium, 2007

Betreuer: Dipl.Inform. R. Bubel verantw. Betreuer: Prof. Dr. P. H. Schmitt

1 Motivation

- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- ④ Using specifications
- 6 A "new" method contract rule
- 6 Demo





1 Motivation

- 2 Specification by example
- Interface specification
- ④ Using specifications
- 6 A "new" method contract rule
- 6 Demo





1 Motivation

- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- ④ Using specifications
- 5 A "new" method contract rule









- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- 4 Using specifications
- 6 A "new" method contract rule









- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- 4 Using specifications
- 5 A "new" method contract rule









- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- 4 Using specifications
- 5 A "new" method contract rule









- 2 Specification by example
- 3 Interface specification
- 4 Using specifications
- 5 A "new" method contract rule







Problem

O No sources of the JDK library available in KeY ⇒ symbolical execution of library calls fail

For native methods sources not even exist

- JCF used in many projects
- Case study



Problem

- O No sources of the JDK library available in KeY ⇒ symbolical execution of library calls fail
- I For native methods sources not even exist

- JCF used in many projects
- Case study



Problem

- O No sources of the JDK library available in KeY ⇒ symbolical execution of library calls fail
- I For native methods sources not even exist

- JCF used in many projects
- Case study



Problem

- O No sources of the JDK library available in KeY ⇒ symbolical execution of library calls fail
- I For native methods sources not even exist

- JCF used in many projects
- Case study

Problem

- O No sources of the JDK library available in KeY ⇒ symbolical execution of library calls fail
- I For native methods sources not even exist

- JCF used in many projects
- Case study

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

```
dest[0 .. src.length]
```

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
```

```
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
```

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

```
dest[0 .. src.length]
```

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

```
dest[0 .. src.length]
```

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

```
dest[0 .. src.length]
```

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

```
dest[0 .. src.length]
```

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

Modifies

dest[0 .. src.length]

Example Method

SomeLibrary.copy(java.lang.Object[] src, java.lang.Object[] dest)

Precondition

```
src != null & src.<created> = TRUE &
dest != null & dest.<created> = TRUE &
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) \rightarrow dest[i] = src[i] )
```

Modifies

dest[0 .. src.length]

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null ->
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
&
exc != null ->
(
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE &
    ( src != null & dest != null ) ->
        ( NullPointerException::instance(exc) = FALSE ) &
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE ->
        ( dest = null | \forall int i; dest[i] = dest[i]@pre )
}
```

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null ->
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
&
exc != null ->
(
     NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE &
     ( src != null & dest != null ) ->
        ( NullPointerException::instance(exc) = FALSE ) &
     NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE ->
        ( dest = null | \forall int i; dest[i] = dest[i]@pre )
```

 $\langle G \rangle$

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null \rightarrow
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
```

 $\langle G \rangle$

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null ->
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
&
exc != null ->
(
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE &
    ( src != null & dest != null ) ->
        ( NullPointerException::instance(exc) = FALSE ) &
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE ->
        ( dest = null | \forall int i; dest[i] = dest[i]@pre )
}
```

 $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null ->
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
&
exc != null ->
(
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE &
    ( src != null & dest != null ) ->
        ( NullPointerException::instance(exc) = FALSE ) &
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE ->
        ( dest = null | \forall int i; dest[i] = dest[i]@pre )
)
```

 $\langle \boldsymbol{R} \rangle$

Precondition

```
src.length = dest.length &
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) ->
arrayStoreValid(dest, src[i]) )
```

Postcondition

```
exc = null ->
\forall int i; ( (0 <= i & i < src.length) -> dest[i] = src[i] )
&
exc != null ->
(
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE &
    ( src != null & dest != null ) ->
        ( NullPointerException::instance(exc) = FALSE ) &
    NullPointerException::instance(exc) = TRUE ->
        ( dest = null | \forall int i; dest[i] = dest[i]@pre )
```

 $\langle \boldsymbol{R} \rangle$

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is

```
( exc = null \rightarrow \phi_N ) \&
      (\bigvee_i Exc_i:::instance(exc) = TRUE) \&
      \bigwedge_{i} (!\psi_{Exc_{i}} \rightarrow Exc_{i}:::instance(exc) = FALSE) \&
      \bigwedge_{i} (Exc_{i}:::instance(exc) = TRUE \rightarrow \phi_{Exc_{i}})
```

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

```
Let \phi_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour
Let \psi_{Exc_i} (1 \le i \le n, n \in N) be the condition where the exception Exc_i is
thrown
Let \phi_{Exc_i} be the postcondition that holds after Exc_i has been thrown
```

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

```
( \text{exc} = \text{null} \rightarrow \phi_N ) \&
```

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

```
( exc = null \rightarrow \phi_N ) \&
exc != null ->
(
     (\bigvee_i Exc_i:::instance(exc) = TRUE) \&
```

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

```
( exc = null \rightarrow \phi_N ) \&
exc != null ->
(
      (\bigvee_i Exc_i:::instance(exc) = TRUE) \&
      \bigwedge_i (!\psi_{Exc_i} \rightarrow Exc_i::instance(exc) = FALSE) \&
```

Precondition

Nearly all the time "true"

Postcondition

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

```
( exc = null \rightarrow \phi_N ) \&
exc != null ->
(
      (\bigvee_i Exc_i:::instance(exc) = TRUE) \&
      \bigwedge_i (!\psi_{Exc_i} \rightarrow Exc_i::instance(exc) = FALSE) \&
      \bigwedge_{i} (Exc_{i}:::instance(exc) = TRUE \rightarrow \phi_{Exc_{i}})
```

Interface specification Model functions

Problem

Method behaviour is described by attribute changes But:

Interfaces don't contain any attributes

Solution

Introduce some function symbols for storing necessary information ("model functions") E.g. \nonRigid[Location] int _size(java.util.List) for remembering a Lists actual size



Interface specification Model functions

Problem

Method behaviour is described by attribute changes But:

Interfaces don't contain any attributes

Solution

Introduce some function symbols for storing necessary information
("model functions")
E.g. \nonRigid[Location] int _size(java.util.List) for remembering
a Lists actual size


Method to be specified

```
s = myList.size()@java.util.List;
with s ∈ jint and myList ∈ java.util.List
```

Precondition

true

Postcondition

```
\if (_size(myList) <= java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)
\then (s = _size(myList))
\else (s = java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)</pre>
```

Modifies

Method to be specified

```
s = myList.size()@java.util.List;
```

```
with s \equiv jint and myList \equiv java.util.List
```

Precondition

true

Postcondition

```
\if (_size(myList) <= java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)
\then (s = _size(myList))
\else (s = java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)</pre>
```

Modifies

Method to be specified

```
s = myList.size()@java.util.List;
```

```
with s \equiv jint and myList \in java.util.List
```

Precondition

true

Postcondition

```
\if (_size(myList) <= java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)
\then (s = _size(myList))
\else (s = java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)</pre>
```

Modifies

Method to be specified

```
s = myList.size()@java.util.List;
```

```
with s \equiv jint and myList \in java.util.List
```

Precondition

true

Postcondition

```
\if (_size(myList) <= java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)
\then (s = _size(myList))
\else (s = java.lang.Integer.MAX_VALUE)</pre>
```

Modifies

Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof

and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Introducing model methods yields to two additional problems.

I How to initialize a model function?

Answer

Write a method contract for the <init> function of the appropriate class

Symbolical execution <-> use of method contracts

Solution

Never use both for the same object in one proof and assure correctness by

- Proof obligation inserts new non rigid predicate
- check in preconditions of contracts for it



Using specification

Loading of contracts

The Library mechanism of KeY is used to load the contracts, i.e. the specifications are stored in KeY-files

Application of Contracts

Applying contracts within a proof is done via the MethodContractRule



Using specification

Loading of contracts

The Library mechanism of KeY is used to load the contracts, i.e. the specifications are stored in KeY-files

Application of Contracts

Applying contracts within a proof is done via the MethodContractRule



Using specifications

```
Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T Let obj \equiv S
```

Method call vs. method body statement

Method call

obj.m(*params*)

- will be expanded to
- Method body statement

obj.m(*params*)@T

where T specifies where to find the implementation of m (params)



Using specifications

```
Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T Let obj \equiv S
```

Method call vs. method body statement

Method call

obj.m(params)

will be expanded to

Method body statement

obj.m(*params*)@T

where T specifies where to find the implementation of m(params)

Using specifications

```
Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T Let obj \equiv S
```

Method call vs. method body statement

Method call

obj.m(params)

will be expanded to

Method body statement

obj.m(*params*)@T

where T specifies where to find the implementation of m(params)

Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T

Let obj.m(params)@T be a method body statement with obj $\ensuremath{\,\boxtimes\,}$ S

Which contracts are available?

Contracts written for Method m(params) in type T or a supertype

Which contracts should be available?



Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T

Let obj.m(params) @T be a method body statement with $obj \equiv S$

Which contracts are available?

Contracts written for Method m(params) in type T or a supertype





Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T

Let obj.m(params)@T be a method body statement with obj \equiv S

Which contracts are available?

Contracts written for Method m(params) in type T or a supertype

Which contracts should be available?



Let S, T be types with S \sqsubseteq T

Let obj.m(params) @T be a method body statement with $obj \equiv S$

Which contracts are available?

Contracts written for Method m(params) in type T or a supertype

Which contracts should be available?



Using specifications Problems

MethodContractRule available only on method body statement
 ⇒ Possible huge proof split up (e.g. java.util.List has many
 subtypes), hence same proof has to be done n times

Solution

Adapt MethodContractRule to use method call

Used specifications must be proven

Solution

Need possibility to give feedback which contracts can not be proven (native methods)



Using specifications Problems

MethodContractRule available only on method body statement
 ⇒ Possible huge proof split up (e.g. java.util.List has many
 subtypes), hence same proof has to be done n times

Solution

Adapt MethodContractRule to use method call

• Used specifications must be proven

Solution

Need possibility to give feedback which contracts can not be proven (native methods)



Using specifications Problems

MethodContractRule available only on method body statement
 ⇒ Possible huge proof split up (e.g. java.util.List has many
 subtypes), hence same proof has to be done n times

Solution

Adapt MethodContractRule to use method call

• Used specifications must be proven

Solution

Need possibility to give feedback which contracts can not be proven (native methods)



Remember from creating specifications

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour

Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

Let ϕ_{Exc_i} be the postcondition that holds after Exc_i has been thrown

Let Exc_1 to $\mathit{Exc}_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ be caught by a program



Remember from creating specifications

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

Let ϕ_{Exc_i} be the postcondition that holds after Exc_i has been thrown

Let Exc_1 to $\mathit{Exc}_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ be caught by a program



Remember from creating specifications

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

Let ϕ_{Exc_i} be the postcondition that holds after Exc_i has been thrown

Let Exc_1 to $Exc_k(1 \le k \le n)$ be caught by a program



Remember from creating specifications

Let ϕ_N be the postcondition for normal behaviour Let ψ_{Exc_i} $(1 \le i \le n, n \in N)$ be the condition where the exception Exc_i is thrown

Let ϕ_{Exc_i} be the postcondition that holds after Exc_i has been thrown

Let Exc_1 to $\mathit{Exc}_k(1 \leq k \leq n)$ be caught by a program



Then the contract that should be applied is



Then the contract that should be applied is





Then the contract that should be applied is





Then the contract that should be applied is



$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq k} Exc_i ::: \text{instance(exc)} = \text{TRUE} \end{array} \right) \& \\ \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} (! \psi_{Exc_i} \rightarrow Exc_i :: \text{instance(exc)} = \text{FALSE}) \& \\ \bigwedge_{1 \leq i \leq k} (Exc_i :: \text{instance(exc)} = \text{TRUE} \rightarrow \phi_{Exc_i}) \end{array}$$

κ_ይχ

Then the contract that should be applied is



Postcondition





Then the contract that should be applied is



Postcondition





Demo

Demo

Proving the contract of a simple method containsNullElements(java.util.List)



Conclusion

• Method contracts are capable of specifying library behaviour

- For interfaces: use of model functions necessary
- Need for thinking about the method contract rule



Conclusion

- Method contracts are capable of specifying library behaviour
- For interfaces: use of model functions necessary
- Need for thinking about the method contract rule



Conclusion

- Method contracts are capable of specifying library behaviour
- For interfaces: use of model functions necessary
- Need for thinking about the method contract rule


Tanks for your attention

Combining contracts

Assume 2 contracts given for one method

Let ϕ_1 be the precondition of the first and ϕ_2 the precondition of the second

Let ψ_1 be the postcondition of the first and ψ_2 the postcondition of the second

Let M_1 be the modifier set of the first and M_2 the modifier set of the second

Then a valid contract for the method is

Precondition

$$\phi_1 \mid \phi_2$$

Postcondition
 $(\phi_1 \circ pre \rightarrow \psi_1) \& (\phi_2 \circ pre \rightarrow \psi_2)$

Modifies $M_1 \cup M_2$







K_Ĝy

