Feedback on the Seminar Presentation
at the Chair for
Application-Oriented Formal Verification

Presenter:

Content *(ranging from 1—very little to 5—very good)*
- How well was the topic motivated?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
- How well was the presentation structured?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
- Were you able to follow the content of the presentation?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
- Were technical terms properly introduced?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—few to 5—all)
- Did the presentation include unnecessary details?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—very few to 5—very many)

Presentation
- Design of the presentation
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—poor to 5—very good)
- How competent did the presenter seem?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—very little to 5—very)
- How motivated did the presenter seem?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—very little to 5—very good)
- How were illustrations/tables/... explained?
  ① ② ③ ④ ⑤
  (ranging from 1—poorly to 5—very well)
- Constructive suggestions for slide usage:
  ☐ Less text on the slides
  ☐ More bullet points instead of full sentences
  ☐ Smart use of colors
  ☐ Well-structured slide elements
  ☐ Meaningful visualizations
  ☐ Illustrative examples
  ☐ Better labeling in figures
  . . . could further enhance the presentation.
- Constructive suggestions for the presentation:
  ☐ Speak more slowly
  ☐ Speak more clearly
  ☐ Speak more fluently (avoid filler words!)
  ☐ Make more (eye) contact with the audience
  ☐ Act more engaged
  ☐ Speak louder
  . . . could further improve the presentation.

The key message of the presentation is:

Remarks: