# Negation and Failure

## The not Predicate

```
?- member(1,[1,2,3]).

Yes
?- not(member(4,[1,2,3])).

Yes
```

- For simple applications, it often works quite a bit like logical negation
- But it has an important procedural side...

## Negation As Failure

- To prove **not**(X),
- Prolog attempts to prove x
- not(X) succeeds if X fails
- The two faces again:
  - Declarative:  $not(x) = \neg x$
  - Procedural:
    - not (X) succeeds if X fails,
    - not(X) fails if X succeeds
    - not(X) runs forever if x runs forever

## Example

```
sibling(X,Y) :-
not(X=Y),
parent(P,X),
parent(P,Y).
```

```
?- sibling(kim,kent).

Yes
?- sibling(kim,kim).

No
?- sibling(X,Y).
No
```

```
sibling(X,Y) :-
  parent(P,X),
  parent(P,Y),
  not(X=Y).
```

```
?-sibling(X,Y).
X = kim
Y = kent:
X = kent
Y = kim;
X = margaret
Y = jean;
X = jean
Y = margaret;
No
```

# Example: A Classic Riddle

### A Classic Riddle

- A man travels with wolf, goat and cabbage
- Wants to cross a river from west to east
- A rowboat is available, but only large enough for the man plus one possession
- Wolf eats goat if left alone together
- Goat eats cabbage if left alone together
- How can the man cross without loss?

# Configurations

- Represent a configuration of this system as a list showing which bank each thing is on in this order: man, wolf, goat, cabbage
- Initial configuration: [w,w,w,w]
- If man crosses with wolf, new state is
  [e,e,w,w] but then goat eats
  cabbage, so we can't go through that
  state
- Desired final state: [e,e,e,e]

#### Moves

- In each move, man crosses with at most one of his possessions
- We will represent these four moves with four atoms: wolf, goat, cabbage, nothing
- (Here, nothing indicates that the man crosses alone in the boat)

# Moves Transform Configurations

- Each move transforms one configuration to another
- In Prolog, we will write this as a predicate:

move(Config, Move, NextConfig)

- Config is a configuration (like [w,w,w,w])
- Move is a move (like wolf)
- NextConfig is the resulting configuration (in this case, [e,e,w,w])

## The move Predicate

```
change(e,w).
change(w,e).

move([X,X,Goat,Cabbage],wolf,[Y,Y,Goat,Cabbage]) :-
   change(X,Y).

move([X,Wolf,X,Cabbage],goat,[Y,Wolf,Y,Cabbage]) :-
   change(X,Y).

move([X,Wolf,Goat,X],cabbage,[Y,Wolf,Goat,Y]) :-
   change(X,Y).

move([X,Wolf,Goat,C],nothing,[Y,Wolf,Goat,C]) :-
   change(X,Y).
```

# Safe Configurations

- A configuration is safe if
  - At least one of the goat or the wolf is on the same side as the man, and
  - At least one of the goat or the cabbage is on the same side as the man

```
oneEq(X,X,_).
oneEq(X,_,X).

safe([Man,Wolf,Goat,Cabbage]) :-
  oneEq(Man,Goat,Wolf),
  oneEq(Man,Goat,Cabbage).
```

## Solutions

A solution is a starting configuration and a list of moves that takes you to [e,e,e,e], where all the intermediate configurations are safe

```
solution([e,e,e,e],[]).
solution(Config,[Move|Rest]) :-
  move(Config,Move,NextConfig),
  safe(NextConfig),
  solution(NextConfig,Rest).
```

# **Prolog Finds A Solution**

```
?- length(X,7), solution([w,w,w,w],X).
X = [goat, nothing, wolf, goat, cabbage, nothing, goat]
Yes
```

- Note: without the length(X,7) restriction, Prolog would not find a solution
- It gets lost looking at possible solutions like [goat,goat,goat,goat,goat...]
- More about this in Chapter 20