

Method Call

Method call with actual parameters $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$

Where method declaration is $\text{void } m(T_1 p_1, \dots, T_n p_n)$

Method Call

Method call with actual parameters $arg_1, \dots, arg_n$

$$\{arg_1 := t_1, \dots, arg_n := t_n, o := t_o\} \langle o.m(arg_1, \dots, arg_n); \rangle \phi$$

Where method declaration is `void  $m(T_1 p_1, \dots, T_n p_n)$`

What the rule **method-call** does:

- (type conformance of arg_i to T_i guaranteed by JAVA compiler)
- for each formal parameter p_i of m
declare & initialize new local variable ' $T_i p_i = arg_i$;
- look up implementation class C of m
split proof, if implementation not statically computable
- make concrete call `$o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n)$`

Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters
(symbolic execution of ' T_i $p_i = arg_i$ ')

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o)) \{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \circ C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters
(symbolic execution of ' T_i $p_i = arg_i$ ')

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o)) \{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Symbolic Execution

Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters
(symbolic execution of ' T_i $p_i = arg_i$ ')

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o)) \{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \circ C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Symbolic Execution

Only static information available, proof splitting

Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters
(symbolic execution of ' T_i $p_i = arg_i;$ ')

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o)) \{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \circ C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Symbolic **Execution**

Only static information available, proof splitting

Runtime infrastructure required in calculus

Method Body Expand

After processing code that binds actual to formal parameters
(symbolic execution of ' T_i $p_i = arg_i$ ')

$$\text{METHOD-BODY-EXPAND} \quad \frac{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi \text{ method-frame}(C(o)) \{ b \} \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}{\Gamma \implies \langle \pi o.C::m(p_1, \dots, p_n); \omega \rangle \phi, \Delta}$$

Symbolic **Execution**

Only static information available, proof splitting

Runtime infrastructure required in calculus

Demo

javaDL/method2.key