

Sum Comprehensions in KeY

Christian Engel

Universität Karlsruhe (TH)

8th International KeY Symposium

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): $(\text{\sum int } i; b; e)$
- Semantics: $\sum_{i \in [b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (i) a range predicate (b) and a numerical expression (e): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (i) a range predicate (b) and a numerical expression (e): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Motivation

Goal

Support for sums over an index variable: $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i$

Numerical Quantifiers in JML

- Described by an index variable (*i*) a range predicate (*b*) and a numerical expression (*e*): `(\sum int i; b; e)`
- Semantics: $\sum_{i:[b]} [e]$
- Problems
 - potentially infinite
 - arbitrary range predicate complicates automated reasoning

Only sums over an integer interval specified by a lower and upper bound are considered

Syntax

`\bSum int x; (l; u; t)`

where

- x is a logic variable bound in t
- l , u and t are integer terms

Semantics

$$val_{s,\beta}(\backslash bSum x; (l; u; t)) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=val_{s,\beta}(l)}^{val_{s,\beta}(u)-1} val_{s,\beta_x^i}(t) & \text{if } val_{s,\beta}(l) < val_{s,\beta}(u) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Syntax

`\bSum int x; (l; u; t)`

where

- `x` is a logic variable bound in `t`
- `l`, `u` and `t` are integer terms

Semantics

$$val_{s,\beta}(\backslash bSum x; (l; u; t)) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=val_{s,\beta}(l)}^{val_{s,\beta}(u)-1} val_{s,\beta^i_x}(t) & \text{if } val_{s,\beta}(l) < val_{s,\beta}(u) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Syntax

`\bSum int x; (l; u; t)`

where

- `x` is a logic variable bound in `t`
- `l`, `u` and `t` are integer terms

Semantics

$$val_{s,\beta}(\backslash bSum x; (l; u; t)) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=val_{s,\beta}(l)}^{val_{s,\beta}(u)-1} val_{s,\beta_x^i}(t) & \text{if } val_{s,\beta}(l) < val_{s,\beta}(u) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Axioms

- Base Case: $b < a \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b s_i = 0$
- Step Case : $b \geq a \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} s_i \right) + s_b = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i$

Derived Rules

- Purpose: Increasing automation and convenience (interactive proving)
- All derived rules are entailed by the two axioms (proven with KeY)

Axioms

- Base Case: $b < a \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b s_i = 0$
- Step Case : $b \geq a \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} s_i \right) + s_b = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i$

Derived Rules

- Purpose: Increasing automation and convenience (interactive proving)
- All derived rules are entailed by the two axioms (proven with KeY)

Axioms

- Base Case: $b < a \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b s_i = 0$
- Step Case : $b \geq a \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} s_i \right) + s_b = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i$

Derived Rules

- Purpose: Increasing automation and convenience (interactive proving)
- All derived rules are entailed by the two axioms (proven with KeY)

Axioms

- Base Case: $b < a \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b s_i = 0$
- Step Case : $b \geq a \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} s_i \right) + s_b = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i$

Derived Rules

- Purpose: Increasing automation and convenience (interactive proving)
- All derived rules are entailed by the two axioms (proven with KeY)

Axioms

- Base Case: $b < a \rightarrow \sum_{i=a}^b s_i = 0$
- Step Case : $b \geq a \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=a}^{b-1} s_i \right) + s_b = \sum_{i=a}^b s_i$

Derived Rules

- Purpose: Increasing automation and convenience (interactive proving)
- All derived rules are entailed by the two axioms (proven with KeY)

Idea

Providing rules for patterns occurring when employing induction or loop invariants

Induction Base Case

$$\backslash bSum x; (l; l; t) \rightsquigarrow 0$$

Induction Step Case

$$\begin{aligned} &\backslash bSum x; (l; u + 1; t) \rightsquigarrow \\ &\backslash bSum x; (l; u; t) + \backslash if (l \leq u) \backslash then (t^{[x/u]}) \backslash else (0) \end{aligned}$$

Idea

Providing rules for patterns occurring when employing induction or loop invariants

Induction Base Case

$$\backslash bSum x; (l; l; t) \rightsquigarrow 0$$

Induction Step Case

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash bSum x; (l; u + 1; t) \rightsquigarrow \\ & \backslash bSum x; (l; u; t) + \backslash if (l \leq u) \backslash then (t^{[x/u]}) \backslash else (0) \end{aligned}$$

Idea

Providing rules for patterns occurring when employing induction or loop invariants

Induction Base Case

$$\backslash bSum x; (l; l; t) \rightsquigarrow 0$$

Induction Step Case

$$\begin{aligned} &\backslash bSum x; (l; u + 1; t) \rightsquigarrow \\ &\backslash bSum x; (l; u; t) + \backslash if (l \leq u) \backslash then (t^{[x/u]}) \backslash else (0) \end{aligned}$$

Idea

Providing rules for patterns occurring when employing induction or loop invariants

Induction Base Case

$$\backslash bSum x; (l; l; t) \rightsquigarrow 0$$

Induction Step Case

$$\begin{aligned} & \backslash bSum x; (l; u + 1; t) \rightsquigarrow \\ & \backslash bSum x; (l; u; t) + \backslash if (l \leq u) \backslash then (t^{[x/u]}) \backslash else (0) \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;  
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ &\rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Automation – Example

Example Program

```
int s = 0;
for(int i=0; i<a.length; i++){  s += a[i];}
```

Loop Invariant

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s$$

Initially Valid ($i \doteq 0 \wedge s \doteq 0$)

$$\backslash bSum\ x; (0; 0; a[x]) \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow 0 \doteq 0 \rightsquigarrow true$$

Loop Body preserves Invariant

$$\begin{aligned} \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \langle s += a[i]; i++; \rangle (\backslash bSum\ x; 0; i; a[x]) \doteq s \\ \rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i + 1; a[x]) \doteq s + a[i] \\ \rightsquigarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) \doteq s &\rightarrow \backslash bSum\ x; (0; i; a[x]) + a[i] \doteq s + a[i] \\ \rightsquigarrow true \end{aligned}$$

Demo